## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SUSAN JOHNSON,	)	
Plaintiff,	) Case No.: 2:15-cv-1600-GMN-VC	F
VS.	) ORDER	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach (ECF No. 26), which recommends denying Plaintiff Susan

Johnson's Motion for Remand (ECF No. 15) and granting Defendant Carolyn W. Colvin's

Cross-Motion to Affirm (ECF No. 24).

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

## 

1	Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.
2	Accordingly,
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 26) is
4	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Remand (ECF No. 15) is
6	DENIED.
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Cross-Motion to Affirm (ECF No. 24
8	is GRANTED.
9	The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.
10	
11	<b>DATED</b> this 25 day of August, 2016.
12	
13	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
14	United States District Court
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	